ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER

Assessing Seismic Hazard of the East African Rift: a pilot study from GEM and AfricaArray

Valerio Poggi¹ • Raymond Durrheim² • Georges Mavonga Tuluka³ • Graeme Weatherill¹ • Marco Pagani¹ • Andrew Nyblade^{2,4} • Damien Delvaux⁵

Received: [D M Y] / Accepted: [D M Y] / Published online: [D M Y] © The Author(s) 2016

Abstract The East African Rift System (EARS) is the major active tectonic feature of the Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) region. Although the seismicity level of this divergent plate boundary can be described as moderate, several damaging earthquakes have been reported in historical times, and the seismic risk is exacerbated by the high vulnerability of the local buildings and structures. Formulation and enforcement of national seismic codes is therefore an essential future risk mitigation strategy. Nonetheless, a reliable risk assessment cannot be done without the calibration of an updated seismic hazard model for the region. A major limitation affecting the assessment of seismic hazard in Sub-Saharan Africa is the lack of basic information needed to construct source and ground motion models. The historical earthquake record is sparse, with significant variation in completeness over time across different regions. The instrumental catalogue is complete down to sufficient magnitude only for a relatively short time span. In addition, mapping of seismogenically active faults is still an on-going task, and few faults in the region are sufficiently constrained as to allow them to be directly represented within the seismic hazard model. Recent studies have identified major seismogenic lineaments, but there is substantial lack of kinematic information for intermediate-to-small scale tectonic features, information that is essential for the proper calibration of earthquake recurrence models.

Valerio Poggi e-mail: valerio.poggi@globalquakemodel.org

- ¹ Global Earthquake Model Foundation (GEM), Pavia, Italy
- ² University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa
- ³ Goma Volcanic Observatory, DR Congo
- ⁴ Penn State University, USA
- ⁵ Royal Museum for central Africa, Tervuren, Belgium

In this study, we use new data and Global Earthquake Model (GEM) computational tools such as the Hazard Modeller's Toolkit and the *OpenQuake* engine to perform a pilot study of the seismic hazard associated with the East African Rift. The hazard model obtained has been calibrated using the most recent information available from scientific literature, global bulletins and local earthquake catalogues, including those from *AfricaArray* projects. In this report, in accordance with the GEM philosophy, we describe in detail all working assumptions, main processing steps, data analyses and interpretations used for the model setup.

Keywords Probabilistic seismic hazard analysis · GMPEs · Uncertainty analysis · Earthquake engineering · Logic-tree

1 Introduction

Earthquakes pose a significant risk in many regions of the Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), more particularly along the tectonically active East African Rift System (EARS). Further away from this rift system, the remainder of SSA is largely considered a stable intra-plate region characterized by a relatively low rate of seismicity. Nonetheless, several large earthquakes have been reported in historical times. While most earthquakes occur near plate boundaries (inter-plate seismicity) along the EARS, it must be noted that a damaging earthquake can occur anywhere, especially as cities grow and many buildings are constructed without taking potential ground shaking into account. Even moderate-sized events can prove disastrous should it occur near a city with many vulnerable buildings, as happened when a M_W 5.7 earthquake struck Agadir, Morocco in 1960, causing some 15,000 deaths.

Damaging earthquakes with M > 6 occur almost annually in the East African Rift, and five M > 7 earthquakes have occurred in eastern Africa since 1900. The largest known event in the region is the 13 December 1910 M_s 7.4 Rukwa (Tanzania) event that badly cracked all European-style houses in towns on the eastern shore of Lake Tanganyika (Midzi and Manzunzu, 2014; Ambraseys, 1991a; Ambrasys and Adams, 1991). A M_s 6.9 earthquake that occurred on 6 January 1928 in the Subakia Valley (part of the Kenya Rift, some 200 km northwest of Nairobi) produced a 38 km long surface rupture with a maximum throw of 2.4m and destroyed, or damaged beyond repair, all European-style houses within 15 km of the rupture, fortunately without causing casualties (Ambraseys, 1991b).

During the last decade there have been several other events that have caused loss of life (Durrheim, 2016). On 5 December 2005 an M_W 6.8 event caused several deaths and damaged school buildings and hundreds of dwellings in the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) and western Tanzania. The 22 February 2006 Mozambican M_W 7 earthquake was one of the largest ever recorded in southern Africa, producing a surface rupture with a displacement of more than 1 m (Fenton and Bommer, 2006). Shaking was felt as far away as Zimbabwe and South Africa. Four people were killed, 27 injured, and at least 160 buildings damaged. On 3 February 2008 an M_W 5.9 earthquake struck the Lake Kivu region of the DRC and neighbouring Rwanda. The event was located near Bukavu (d'Oreye et al., 2008), now with a population of 700,000, and can be regarded as a "near miss". A second earthquake followed the main shock 3 1/2 hours later. Numerous buildings collapsed or suffered significant structural damage, trapping many people under rubble. At least 40 people died and more than 400 were injured. Even more recently, a M_W 5.9 earthquake that occurred

on 10 September 2016 near the west shore of Lake Victoria in northern Tanzania (some 200 km to the east of the West Branch of the Rift System) caused more than a dozen fatalities and 200 injuries (USGS, 2016), in a region which was previously devoid of instrumental seismicity.

While these events caused relatively small losses, the population of the region has increased enormously over the last century and increasingly urbanized; trends that are expected to continue well into the mid-21st century. Building methods have changed from wattle and daub or timber with grass roofs, which have a large inherent resistance to earthquake shaking, to European-style unreinforced masonry constructions, which are far more vulnerable to shaking (Brzev et al., 2013). The occurrence of similar events close to a town would likely cause serious human and economic losses today.

The mitigation of earthquake risk in Africa requires coordinated action on several fronts. Firstly, seismic hazard assessments should be improved by maintaining and expanding seismic monitoring networks, supplementing historical and paleoseismic catalogues, and mapping active faults and the near-surface. Secondly, building codes should be formulated and enforced, and vulnerable existing buildings and infrastructure reinforced to prevent serious damage or collapse when subjected to strong shaking. Lastly, disaster management agencies, emergency first responders, and the general public should be trained to act effectively and sensibly during an earthquake, and equipped to deal with the aftermath. National efforts to assess and address the risks posed by earthquakes are reviewed by Worku (2014) and Lubkowski et al. (2014).

The Global Earthquake Model (GEM) Foundation was launched in 2009 with the vision of promoting the collaborative development of tools and models for earthquake hazard and risk assessment. In this paper, we illustrate part of the activities completed within a USAID-funded pilot project, where we seek to gain knowledge and build capacity to mitigate and reduce seismic risk in regions affected by earthquakes associated with the East African Rift System. Within this framework, a regional probabilistic seismic hazard model based on distributed seismicity has been developed and is discussed.

2 Tectonic of the East African Rift System

The African continent is a palimpsest recording a lengthy tectonic history, and the East African Rift System (EARS) is superimposed on structures formed during earlier tectonic episodes (McConnell, 1980). On a broad scale, much of it can be explained by plate tectonics and the Wilson cycle, for example the amalgamation and dispersal of Gondwana. However, there are other phenomena, such as the rise of the African Superswell (Nyblade and Robinson, 1994), that are not well understood. The EARS stretches quasi-continuously from the Afar depression in northern Ethiopia to the Southwest Indian Ocean Ridge (SWIR) at the junction with the Antarctic plate. The EARS is the southern branch of three rifts that radiate from a triple junction. The north-western rift lies along the axis of the Red Sea; while the north-eastern rift bisects the Gulf of Aden and extends as far as the Indian Ocean Ridge.

The EARS includes the world's youngest continental flood basalt province (Ethiopia) and is superimposed on a broad region of high topographic elevation (the >1000 m high eastern and southern African plateaus). This high elevation region and its offshore extension in the south-eastern Atlantic define the "African Superswell"

(Nyblade and Robinson, 1994), which lies on average 500 m higher than the global topographic mean. The analysis of long-wavelength gravity and topographic relief over Africa suggests that more than half of this anomalous topography may be dynamically supported by convective mantle upwelling associated with a large, slow shear wave seismic velocity mantle anomaly, the African superplume (Lithgow-Bertelloni and Silveri, 1998; Ritsema et al., 1998; Gurnis et al., 2000).

The initiation of Cenozoic rifting is estimated to start in the mid-Tertiary (MacGregor, 2015) with the onset of volcanism in the Turkana Rift (Furman et al., 2006) followed by uplift and flood basalts in Ethiopia (Pik et al., 2003). The process was followed by extension in the Main Ethiopian Rift and the Western and Eastern (Kenya) branches (Roberts et al., 2012), and further south in the Malawi Rift (Lyons et al., 2011).

3 Methodology

Seismic Hazard is evaluated for the regions surrounding the EARS by developing a probabilistic model based on distributed seismicity. The choice of a distributed seismicity model was mostly driven by current data, including local earthquake catalogues, faults, focal mechanisms and strain information. Unfortunately, available data were insufficient for the implementation of alternative probabilistic models.

For a given site, the distributed seismicity approach determines the probabilities of exceeding, at least once in a given time span, a set of ground motion levels of engineering interest generated by a number of seismically and tectonically homogenous earthquake source zones. In its simplest representation, each source is considered independent from others and the earthquake rupture process within zones is assumed to follow a *Poisson* process. More comprehensive descriptions of Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Analysis (PSHA) can be found for example in Field (2003), McGuire (2004) and USNRC (2012). Calculation of seismic hazard is made through the use of the *OpenQuake* engine, an open source seismic hazard and risk calculation software developed, maintained and distributed by the Global Earthquake Model.

4 The SSA-GEM Earthquake Catalogue

The starting point for PSHA analysis is the definition of the seismicity characteristics, in terms of both the long-term recurrence as well as the seismotectonic properties (e.g. style of faulting, depth distribution etc.), for the study area. This can be done in multiple ways, but the basic - and probably the most common - approach is in the use of an earthquake catalogue. For the purposes of constraining earthquake recurrence, it is critical to identify which portions of the catalogue can be considered - as much as possible - to be a complete record of all earthquake events indirectly reported (the historical and macroseismic component) or directly recorded (the instrumental component) on a specific area and over a certain time span.

If several catalogues are available for a given study area, information (location solutions, reported time, intensity scale) can be quite heterogeneous and some objective criteria for selection, merging and homogenisation are needed. This is usually the case when neighbouring agencies are reporting the same events but with different magnitude types. The same issue affects source solutions, for instance when different earthquake phases, processing algorithms or base model assumptions (e.g. earth velocity structure) are used.

GEM has recently developed a set of open-source tools that helps scientists go through the catalogue harmonisation process. In this study we make use of these tools (aka GEM Catalogue Toolkit, Weatherill et al. 2016) to produce a state-of-art earthquake catalogue for Sub-Saharan Africa with homogenous magnitude representation (M_w). Such catalogue (hereinafter SSA-GEM) is obtained by augmenting available global catalogues (e.g. ISC-Reviewed, ISC-GEM, GCMT) with information from local agencies and regional projects, particularly from *AfricaArray* temporary deployments (e.g. Mulibo and Nyblade, 2013; 2016). In the following we describe in detail the necessary steps, main assumptions and choices we faced to set up the SSA-GEM catalogue, in accordance with the GEM philosophy of complete disclosure of processing procedures.

4.1 Source Data

4.1.1 ISC Reviewed Bulletin

The manually reviewed bulletin from the International Seismological Centre (ISC, 2013) was used as one of the primary sources of information for the earthquake catalogue. The ISC bulletin covers a period ranging from the beginning of the 20th century to present day. In our selected geographic area (-40° to 20° North, 10° to 60° East) it spans the period 1904-2013, and includes a total of 26,322 events from 89 international and national (local) agencies. Magnitude scale representation is, however, not homogenous and varies between agencies and time periods.

4.1.2 ISC-GEM Catalogue

The ISC-GEM global instrumental earthquake catalogue (Storchak et al., 2013; 2015) is a refined version of the ISC bulletin, which improves the accuracy of magnitude and location solutions for large global events ($M_W > 5.5$) in the period 1900-2012. Events reported in the ISC-GEM catalogue are considered as reference events, which have priority over other estimates from global bulletins. Earthquake size is homogeneously represented by using moment magnitude (M_W) from globally calibrated magnitude conversion relations. The ISC-GEM catalogue is presently in its version 3, which is the one used in this study. 285 events (out of 24,375) fall within the selected study region.

4.1.3 Harvard/GCMT Bulletin

The Global Centroid Moment Tensor catalogue (GCMT, Ekström et al., 2012) is a collection of moment tensor solutions for earthquakes with $M_W > 5$. The catalogue covers the period 1976 to present, with a total of more than 40,000 global events, 614 of which are of interest for this study. Note that within ISC bulletin, the Global Centroid Moment Tensor catalogue is indicated with two separated agency labels, HRVD and GCMT, indicating the migration of the project from Harvard (Harvard CMT Project) to the Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory (LDEO) of the Columbia

University in 2006. Moment tensor solutions from the GCMT are considered as reference for the calibration of magnitude conversion relations used in this study.

4.1.4 GEM Historical Earthquake Archive

The GEM Historical Earthquake Catalogue (GEH) is a global collection of reviewed historical records consisting of 825 events (M > 7) covering the period 1000-1903 (pre instrumental period). Only eight earthquakes from the GEH catalogue fall within the study region. The small number is likely due to the lack of historical records in sub-Saharan Africa, and poses the problem of completeness of the regional earthquake record for large magnitudes, which may consequently bias the calibration of annual occurrence rates for these events.

4.1.5 AfricaArray and regional earthquake catalogues

We extended the earthquake record by integration of three local catalogues. These catalogues are the result of regional earthquake monitoring performed with temporary and permanent seismic network installations.

- I. The Tanzanian Broadband Seismic Experiment (TZB), with 2,218 events covering the period 1994-1995 and M_s magnitude between 1.43 and 4.42 (Langston et al., 1998);
- II. The Ethiopian Plateau Catalogue (ETP), with 253 events covering the period 2001-2002 and with M_s magnitude between 1.75 and 4.05 (Brazier et al., 2008);
- III. The AfricaArray Eastern Africa Seismic experiment (AAE), with 1,023 events in the period 2009-2011 and M_s magnitude range 1.28-4.04 (Mulibo and Nyblade, 2016).

Although these catalogues extend the record to very low magnitudes, their primary application within the present hazard study was for the local definition of seismicity distribution patterns in order to elucidate potentially seismogenic structures within the rift system and the surrounding regions. Subsequently, these are used to improve the design of a new area source model for Sub-Saharan Africa.

4.2 Location Solution

In many applications, preference for earthquake location solution should be given to local agencies, while solutions from global agencies and teleseismic events should be alternatively used in those cases where local agencies are not available on the territory (e.g. not yet established) or where large solution uncertainty exists, e.g. due to insufficient station coverage. For the case of Sub-Saharan Africa, although solutions from several local agencies are made available through the ISC bulletin, there is general lack of information regarding network operation (particularly before 1980) and metadata - including the quality of the solutions - which makes the use of their locations often questionable. Nonetheless, events recorded teleseismically are unlikely to be affected by changes in station location or operation over time, with a consequent decreased bias in the solution error for different periods of the catalogue.

For these reasons, solutions from global agencies have been preferred, while the



use of solutions produced by local agencies was restricted to those cases where no other information was available. By mapping the activity period of the different seismological agencies over time, we identified five main time intervals with a different scheme of agency prioritisation (see summary Table 1).

Table 1 Prioritisation of agencies for preferred location solution. Selection is done differently for separated time periods, accounting for network operation and reliability of the estimate. We refer to ISC website (http://www.isc.ac.uk/iscbulletin/agencies, last access August 2016) for acronyms not otherwise described in the article.

Period	Agency Priority List		
1000-1900	GEH		
1901-1959	ISC-GEM, ISC, ISS, GUTE, GEH		
1960-1964	ISC-GEM, EHB, ISC, ISS, GEH		
1965-1980	EHB, ISC, NEIC, IDC, GCMT, HRVD, GCMT-NDK, BUL, PRE,		
	LSZ, TAN, CNG, GEH		
1981-2015	EHB, ISC, NEIC, IDC, GCMT, HRVD, GCMT-NDK, AAE, ETP,		
	TZB, PRE, LSZ, NAI, TAN, CNG, EAF, GEH		

4.3 Magnitude Homogenisation

An unbiased seismicity analysis requires that the seismic record is represented homogeneously in terms of the magnitude scale, to avoid inconsistencies due to the different processing schemes used within different scales and the manifestation of saturation effects. Among the several scales that can possibly be used as reference, the most natural choice is moment magnitude (M_w), due to its direct connection to earthquake size and energy, and the absence of saturation at high magnitudes. However, events with a native estimate of M_w (i.e. directly obtained from data) are limited, and very often a conversion from other scales is necessary.

Calibration of regional conversion rules from local datasets is generally advisable; however, it can be limited by availability of events with multiple magnitude scale representations. Alternatively, a two- (or three-) step conversion with an intermediate dummy intensity measure (IM) of larger availability can be used, with the drawback of the progressive accumulation of uncertainty at each conversion step. If no calibration data are available at all, globally calibrated conversion rules can still be applied.

For the definition of ad-hoc magnitude conversion rules, we used in this study the functionalities offered by the GEM catalogue toolkit (Weatherill et al., 2016), which allows for the exploration and statistical analysis of local, regional and global datasets to build statistical regression models for the IM conversion. In the SSA region, unfortunately, we experienced a substantial lack of calibration data to implement local M_w conversion rules and in several cases we had to rely on globally calibrated relations (see Table 2)

Agency	$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{W}}$ Conversion Rule	Rage	Reference
ISC	0.616M _s +2.369	$M_s < 6$	
	0.994M _s +0.1	$M_{s} > 6$	
-	$1.084m_{b}-0.142$	$m_{b} < 6.5$	Weatherill et al. 2016
NEIC	0.723M _s +1.798	$M_{s} < 6.5$	_
	1.005M _s -0.026	$M_{s} > 6.5$	
	1.159m _b -0.659	$m_{b} < 6.5$	_
PRE	M_L	$M_{L} < 6$	Assumed 1:1 scaling and
BUL	${ m M}_{ m blg}$	$M_{blg} < 6$	arbitrary uncertainty (0.3)
TZB, ETP, AAE	$1.02+0.47M_{L}+0.05M_{L}^{2}$	$M_L < 5$	Edwards et al., 2010
PAS	0.616(M _s -0.2)+2.369	$M_{s} > 6$	ISC-M _s corrected (as suggested
	$0.994(M_{s}-0.2)+0.1$	$M_{s} < 6$	in Engdahl and Villasenor, 2002)

Table 2 List of magnitude agencies and corresponding M_W conversion rules. Agencies are sorted according to decreasing priority for the catalogue harmonisation.

4.4 Duplicate Findings

When merging different earthquake catalogues, one issue is the identification of duplicate events. To face this problem, events falling within a window of prescribed spatial and temporal width are assumed to represent the same earthquake. Best results have been obtained with a window of 0.5° and 120 s. These values appear sufficient to capture relative uncertainty in earthquake solution between agencies, which is particularly relevant for teleseismic events. The use of larger values had led to erroneous results, by misinterpreting earthquakes in aftershock sequences as duplicates. After catalogue merging, previously defined priority rules for magnitude and location agency selection are applied and the final catalogue is produced (see Fig. 1)

4.5 Catalogue Declustering

A widespread assumption in standard PSHA is that earthquake occurrence rates are independent of the observation time and that their probability distribution is that of a Poisson process. However, earthquake catalogues are naturally affected by the presence of groups of correlated events (clusters), such as fore- and aftershock sequences and seismic swarms, which are highly dependent in space and time.

In order to estimate Poissonian seismicity rates, those dependent events have to be removed by filtering the catalogue prior to the calibration of any occurrence relationship. Such procedure is called catalogue declustering and several algorithms have been proposed to address this issue (see van Stiphout et al., 2012 for a review). Among others, one of the most popular is from Gardner and Knopoff (1974), due to its conceptual and computational simplicity. The algorithm isolates and removes dependent events from a sorted catalogue by virtue of a fixed time-distance window centred on each (assumed) earthquake main shock and proportional to its magnitude. Although several window variants exist (see Uhrhammer, 1986 or van Stiphout et al., 2012), we used the original magnitude-scaling relation of Gardner and Knopoff (1974). The declustered SSA-GEM catalogue consists of 7,259 events out of the original 29803 in the magnitude range $3 \le M_W \le 7.53$.





Fig. 1 Left - Distribution of events from the homogenised SSA earthquake catalogue. Bottom: Magnitude over time distribution.



5 Seismic Source Zonation

The proposed seismic hazard model for Sub-Saharan Africa is based on distributed seismicity, and requires the discretisation of the study area in source zones of supposedly uniform temporal and spatial earthquake occurrence. This approach is commonly used when observed seismicity cannot be reliably linked to any known (or inferred) geologic structure, which is often the case in low seismicity regions. The main advantage of using area source zones (ASZ) lies in their flexibility with regard to the definition of the properties of seismogenesis within a region, although the selection criteria may be highly subjective and experts may fail to reach consensus.

For the development of an area source model we followed a mixed approach, which accounts for both observed seismicity and the geological/tectonic characteristics of the study region. Such an approach closely follows from the methodology advocated by Vilanova (2014), which consists in the definition of a set of objective criteria for the delineation of ASZ boundaries. Seismicity constraints have been obtained from the analysis (completeness, occurrence rates) of the SSA-GEM earthquake catalogue, which will be discussed in more detail in the next section. Tectonic information was derived mostly from scientific literature and by integration of available datasets.



Fig. 2 Source zonation model used in this study (see **Table 3** for details). Area sources belonging to same tectonic group are represented with unique colour. Calculation area is marked with red solid line.

The current area source model consists of a total of 19 zones distributed over 6 main tectonic groups (Table 3, Fig. 2), which we assume to have homogenous rheological and mechanical behaviour with respect to the underlying crustal geology. The definition of these groups is essential for the regional calibration of *b*-values. Within five zones (7, 10, 11, 12, 13), we further define sub-regions of larger observed seismicity. We assume these layers (marked with the suffix .1) to inherit all the basic seismotectonic features of the containing (background) zone, but with occurrence rates readjusted to match and explain the irregular spatial distribution of local seismicity. In the following we describe in detail the main seismotectonic characteristics of each group.

5.1 Group 1 and 2 - Horn of Africa

The Afar triple junction is a key point in the tectonics of the Arabian, Nubian and Somalian plates, because it represents the point of accommodation of three supposedly connected extensional regimes, which are the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden spreading ridges to the north and the Ethiopian rift system to the south. The whole area is characterised by a significant seismic activity and several large earthquakes have been observed in historical and modern times. Surface geology and focal mechanism of earthquakes show that the whole region is dominated by normal faulting (e.g., Shudofsky, 1985; Kebede and Kulhanek, 1991; Ayele et al., 2006), with a minor although not negligible strike slip component.



We formally separated the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden source zones (group 1) from the inland zones of the triple junction's southern branch (Afar, Ethiopian plateau and Ethiopian rift valley (group 2), which has not yet produced oceanic crust. The rationale behind this choice lies in the likely different seismic attenuation behaviour of the two neighbouring regions. However, this hypothesis has to be confirmed by the analysis of local seismic recordings. The Main Ethiopian Rift, in particular, is a single-extensional rift between Nubia and Somalia extending from the Afar triple junction (Wolfenden et al., 2004; Keir et al., 2009) to the Lake Turkana depression in northern Kenya. Few earthquake focal mechanisms exist for the Main Ethiopian Rift and most of them show ESE-WNW orientation and normal fault (Casey et al., 2006; Delvaux and Barth, 2010).

Group	Source Zone	Name		
1	2	South Red Sea		
1	3	Gulf of Aden		
	1	Afar Depression - Eritrea		
2	4	Main Ethiopian Rift		
	22	North Kenya - Lake Turkana		
	7,7.1	Lake Victoria		
3	14	South Kenya		
	20	Rovuma Basin		
	5	South Sudan		
	6	Western Rift - Lakes Albert to Kivu		
4	8	Western Rift - Tanganyika		
	9	Rukwa - Malawi (Nyasa) Rift		
	18	South Mozambique		
	10, 10.1	Walikale - Masisi		
5	11,11.1	Luama rift		
5	12, 12.1	Mweru - Katanga - Upemba		
	13, 13.1 Kariba - Okav	Kariba - Okavango		
	15	Eastern Rift		
6	16	Davie Ridge		
	17	Mozambique channel		

Table 3. Source zones of the current SSA model assembled into tectonic groups.

5.2 Group 3 - African Microplates

South of Lake Turkana, seismic and tectonic activity delineate two branches, the Eastern and Western Rifts, which bound a relatively unfaulted, scarcely seismic domain centered on a 2.5-3 Ga old assemblage of metamorphic and granitic terranes (Tanzanian craton). This domain has remained undisturbed tectonically since the Archean (e.g., Chesley et al., 1999), except for minor seismicity under Lake Victoria, and it was interpreted by Hartnady (2002) as the present-day Victoria microplate. Seismic, xenolith and gravity data show that the 150-200 km thick lithosphere of the Tanzanian craton is colder and stronger than the surrounding orogenic belts (Ritsema et al., 1998; Petit and Ebinger, 2000; Weeraratne et al., 2003; Adams et al., 2012; O'Donnell et al., 2013), which might lead to lower seismic attenuation in the region.

The low seismicity belt extends towards the south of the Tanzanian craton and extends into northern Mozambique. This region, however, has been formally separated from the Victoria microplate as the independent tectonic domain of the Rovuma microplate (Saria et al., 2014).

Although seismicity for these microplate regions is very low compared to neighbouring rifts zones, it still has to be represented in the hazard model. This is done through implementation of background area sources with low seismicity rates. In addition to the Victoria and Rovuma microplates, source group 3 extends into the Nubian and Somalian plates to include the seismic clusters of South Sudan (to the north-west) and Kenya (to the east). These regions definitely have higher seismic productivity, but they are considered to have a similar tectonic setting, which makes them suitable for the calibration of a common b-value.

5.3 Group 4 - Western Rift System

This group contains four sources, which cover segments of the Western branch of the EAR, showing the highest rates of seismicity along the whole rift system. It includes the Albertine Rift (which contains the Albertine Graben, Semliki Basin and Rwenzori Mountains), the Lake Kivu Basin including the Virunga volcanic area, Lake Tanganyika and Malawi. The present-day fault kinematics, as evidenced by the focal mechanism of events in the Albertine Rift, is normal faulting under NW-SE extension. Focal mechanisms in the Lake Kivu area also display normal faulting with a general N-S trend, as opposed to the NE-SW trend of the Albertine-Rwenzori segment. Lake Tanganyika occupies the central part of the Western Branch. The focal mechanisms in northern Tanganyika indicate an ESE-WNW normal faulting regime with a slight strike slip component. The southern part of Lake Tanganyika belongs to the Tanganyika-Rukwa-Malawi (TRM) rift segment, where Chorowicz (2005) infers dextral strike slip movements under NW-SE extension. However, Delvaux et al. (2012) showed that this strike-slip movement is related to Early Mesozoic reactivations and that the TRM rift segment currently opens in a NE-SW direction, orthogonal to the rift trend (see also Delvaux and Barth, 2010). In addition, the Ufipa Plateau between the Rukwa and South Tanganyika depression is affected by the 160 km-long Kanda active normal fault that might have generated the 1910 M_s 7.4 earthquake, which is the strongest ever recorded in the East Africa Rift (Vittori et al., 1997; Delvaux and Barth, 2010).

Most of the seismicity of the EAR is concentrated in the magma-poor Western Rift, which initiated around 25 Ma simultaneously with the Eastern branch (Roberts et al., 2012; MacGregor, 2015). The Western branch is characterized by low-volume volcanic activity, large (M > 6.5) magnitude earthquakes, and hypocenters at depths up to 30-40 km (Yang and Chen, 2010; Craig et al., 2011). From Lake Albert to southern Rukwa, the width of the Western branch does not extend more than 40-70 km, with large volcanic centers coincident with the basin segmentation (Virunga, South-Kivu, and Rungwe). The Western Rift connects southward with the Malawi Rift via the reactivated Mesozoic Rukwa Rift (Delvaux et al., 2012). The Malawi Rift itself shares similarities with the Tanganyika basin, with long and well-defined normal faults (e.g., Livingstone escarpment) and limited volcanism. The 2009 Karonga earthquake swarm, with 4 $M_W > 5.5$ events (Biggs et al., 2010), however, showed that additional hanging wall normal faults participate in present-day extension. Recent coring in Lake Malawi indicates that modern rift initiation may be

as young as Early to Middle Pliocene, considerably younger than most prior estimates (Lyons et al., 2011).

Seismicity (i.e. centroid depths) extends through the entire crust and many of the larger earthquakes have nucleated within the lower crust (Nyblade and Langston, 1995, Brazier et al., 2005; Craig et al., 2011). The seismicity observed within these areas shows very few events before 1960, probably because of the lack of seismic stations. The maximum magnitude observed corresponds to 7.3 (M_w), generated by the 1910 Rukwa earthquake. The accuracy of the focal depth estimates is generally poor owing to the sparse station spacing. Micro seismic studies also indicate that earthquakes nucleate at depths of 10 to 20 km or deeper in the Western Rift Valley (Zana, 1977; Zana and Hamaguchi, 1978; Camelbeeck and Iranga, 1966).

The triple junction between the Somalia, Victoria and Rovuma plates is in the Mbeya area (Ebinger et al., 1989; Delvaux and Hanon, 1993). It contains the Rungwe volcanic province and links the NW-trending South Rukwa and North Malawi rift basins with the NE-trending Usangu basin. The Western Branch of the EARS continues south of the Mbeya triple junction by way of the Malawi Rift and by more weakly expressed asymmetric structures along the coastal region of central Mozambique.

South of the Malawi Rift, active deformation extends along the seismically active Urema graben and further south along the Chissenga seismic zone and the Urrongas protorift swell (Hartnady, 2006), where the M_w 7.0 Machaze, Mozambique, earthquake of 23 February 2006 occurred (Fenton and Bommer, 2006; Yang and Chen, 2008). The latter generated a surface fault rupture observed over 15 km, with a possible overall extension of 30 km with a vertical separation from 0.4 to 2.05 m and a component of left-lateral displacement of maximum 0.7m (Fenton and Bommer, 2006).

5.4 Group 5 - Central Africa

The Masisi zone is located northwest of Lake Kivu. A study of earthquake focal mechanisms by Tanaka et al. (1980) showed that the direction of the fault traces in that area is SE-NW, and the average focal mechanism is normal faulting with the tension axis perpendicular to the strike of the fault traces. The last strong earthquake occurred in the Masisi area on 29 April 1995 (M_b 5.1, Mavonga, 2007; M_W 5.4, Barth et al., 2007).

The most prominent seismotectonic features in this region are the Upemba and Moero (or Mweru) Rifts. The Upemba Rift is characterized by a NE-SW striking fault extending along its eastern side (Studt et al., 1908). The Upemba Rift may extend northward to the Kabalo area, which experienced an earthquake with magnitude M_w 6.5 on 11 September 1992. Detailed investigation has revealed that the main geological features in the Kabalo area trend in the NNE-SSW direction, similar to those found in the Upemba Rift (Zana et al., 2004).

5.5 Group 6 - Eastern Rift System

The Eastern Rift branch is characterized by a broad zone of shallow (5-15 km) and smaller magnitude seismicity, but voluminous volcanism (e.g., Dawson, 1992; Yang and Chen, 2010; Craig et al., 2011). The Eastern Rift includes the ca. 25 Ma Turkana

Rift, which reactivated part of an Eocene-Oligocene rift system (George et al., 1998; Pik et al., 2006). South of Lake Turkana, rifting and volcanism initiated at about 25 Ma (Furman et al., 2006; McDougall and Brown, 2009) with active eruptive centers along its length and moderate seismic activity. The seismically active southernmost part of the Eastern Rift, < 5 Myr old in the Natron basin, experienced (in 2007) a discrete strain accommodation event rarely observed in a continental rift, with slow slip on a normal fault followed by a dike intrusion (Calais et al., 2008; Biggs et al., 2009).

South of the Natron basin, the Eastern branch of the EAR splits into the Pangani, Manyara, and Eyasi Rifts at an apparent triple junction (North Tanzanian Divergence, NTD) (Le Gall et al., 2004; 2008; Foster et al., 1997). The continuation of the Eastern branch south of the NTD appears more prominent along the Manyara - Dodoma Rift (Macheyeki et al., 2008; Mulibo and Nyblade, 2016), which may therefore form the eastern boundary of the Victoria plate. The aseismic plateau between the Manyara and Pangani Rifts has been interpreted as a microplate (Masai block), separate from Victoria and Somalia (Dawson, 1992; Le Gall et al., 2008). Farther south, the Manyara and Pangani Rifts connect with the Usangu basin to the southwest. The presence of 17-19 Ma phonolites intruding the basin sediments (Rasskazov et al., 2003) indicates that the Usangu basin likely initiated in the early stage of rift development. The Usangu basin shows moderate seismicity and connects to the south with the Malawi Rift. A zone of seismicity to the north of the Usangu basin and extending to the southeast across central Tanzania has been associated with the northern boundary of the Rovuma plate (Mulibo and Nyblade, 2016). This zone of seismicity may continue offshore and connect to with the Davie Ridge, a narrow, NS trending, zone of seismicity with purely east-west extensional focal mechanisms (Mougenot et al., 1986; Grimison and Chen, 1988; Franke et al., 2015). The southward continuation of the Davie Ridge is unclear, but it may connect with the Quathlamba Seismic Axis, a linear cluster of seismicity between Madagascar and southern Mozambique (Hartnady, 1990; Hartnady et al., 1992).

6 Calibration of the Seismic Source Model

6.1 Source Depth Distribution

A model for source depth distribution was calibrated based on the available information from the SSA-GEM catalogue. Unfortunately, not all reported events included an estimation of hypocentral depth solution. In few cases, moreover, such estimate was considered unreliable because of the large uncertainty (generally at depths larger than 40 km) or because the depth was explicitly assigned a-priori (e.g. fixed solution depths of 5, 10, 15 and 33 km). These events have been removed from the analysis. Nonetheless, a sufficient number of samples were available to perform a reasonable statistical analysis (Fig. 3).



Fig. 3 Distribution of the hypocentral depth solutions of earthquake events falling into the main six source groups defined in Table 3.

6.2 Source Mechanism

Geometry of the source is fully described by the focal mechanism parameters strike, dip and rake. While strike and dip uniquely describe fault orientation, rake is used to further specify the style of displacement (normal, thrust, strike-slip or oblique). Such source parameters can be estimated directly by the analysis of faultplane solutions from moment tensor inversion of earthquake recordings, or indirectly by the analysis of local and regional stress regimes and existing geological structures. We based our considerations on the geological and seismological literature available for the area (see section 5).

The tectonic regime in the study region is mostly extensional, although a minor but not negligible transform component is also relevant in many areas. Normal faulting style was modelled by imposing a standard (constant) dip angle of 60° and rake of - 90° , while adding where necessary a strike-slip component by allowing oblique strike on the fault plane. Since in most cases precise information on average slip direction was not available, either left lateral (-45°) and right-lateral (-135°) rake components were allowed with equal probability.

The overall strike distribution was calibrated by performing statistical analysis on the outcropping fault structures available from the database of Macgregor (2015). To do this, mapped fault lineaments were split into segments of fixed length (1 km), in order to weight segments of different length proportionately, but also to avoid issues related to arbitrary segmentation of main faults. Segment statistics were then used to constrain average strike orientation in each zone (e.g. **Fig. 4**). In a few cases, bimodal (and even more complex) distributions were found, which are likely due to a mixed tectonic regime.



Fig. 4 Distribution of fault orientation (strike) for two example source zones (4 and 7). Input information is from the fault database of MacGregor (2015).

6.3 Seismicity Analysis

6.3.1 Magnitude-Frequency Distribution

Seismicity of each area source zone is assumed to follow a double truncated Gutenberg-Richter magnitude occurrence relation (or magnitude-frequency distribution, MFD). Lower truncation is arbitrarily assigned to M_W 4.5 (lowest magnitude threshold considered capable of generating significant damage in engineering) for all zones. Upper truncation is defined as the magnitude of the largest earthquake assumed possible (or, rather, plausible) for an area. A different maximum magnitude (M_{MAX}) estimate is derived independently for each source group as the largest observed event plus an arbitrary - although quite conservative - increment of 0.5 magnitude units.

b-values have been calibrated for the whole catalogue and independently for each source group. Conversely, occurrence rates (*a*-values) have been calculated separately for each source zone by imposing the previously calibrated *b*-values. This strategy was necessary given the limited amount of data available for the study area, and particularly for those zones of quite limited extension.

In addition to using standard and well-established approaches (e.g. Weichert's maximum likelihood method; Weichert, 1980), we tested an alternative strategy we developed based on direct inversion of incremental earthquake occurrences. Seismicity parameters (*a*- and *b*-values) are obtained by minimizing the residuals between observed rates in discrete magnitude bins and a theoretical truncated MFD model (e.g. **Fig. 5**a). Such strategy is advantageous in that target observations are independent and the results are therefore not affected by discontinuous earthquake records, as for the case of uncertain completeness of reported large magnitudes. Moreover, a variety of *a priori* constraints (e.g. fixed *b*-value or maximum magnitude) can easily be included in the analysis.



Fig. 5 a) Gutenberg-Richter magnitude occurrence relation of the declustered SSA-GEM catalogue. Red solid line and grey histogram are the fitted relation, while symbols represent observed rates (cumulative and incremental) for discrete magnitude bins. b) Corresponding catalogue completeness: on the background (with normalized scale) is the distribution of annual rates computed for discrete time windows (5 years), while in red is the time completeness table. Magnitude bins are discretized as in a).

6.3.2 Completeness Analysis

Earthquake catalogue completeness (Rydelek and Sacks, 1989; Woessner and Wiemer, 2005) is evaluated for different temporal periods and magnitude ranges by integration of two complementary procedures. First, results from the unsupervised Stepp (1971) algorithm are evaluated, using the implementation available within the GEM's Hazard Modeller Toolkit (HMTK) (Weatherill, 2014a). This method, however, proved to be unstable, giving potentially erroneous results in the case of sparse and irregular data coverage, as it is unfortunately the case for Sub-Saharan Africa. As subsequent refinement, therefore, we manually adjusted the completeness estimates by iterative comparison of the corresponding magnitude-frequency distribution. Such procedure is performed in a first stage for the whole SSA-GEM catalogue (e.g. **Fig. 5**b) and then for each source zone group.

6.3.3 Earthquake Rate Balancing

In order to avoid duplicate counts of events on overlapping zones (e.g. 12 and 12.1), redistribution of seismic rates is necessary. Background events have to be removed from the rates computed for the topmost overlapping layer, so that joint calculation of the occurrence rates for the two zones will keep the total balance unmodified. First, the unit-area background rate is obtained by counting the occurrences in the background region not falling also into the overlapping layer. This can be done by simple subtraction of the total events observed in the two zones. The background rate is then removed from the occurrence of the overlapping zone after rescaling by local area extension. For simplicity, we limited this procedure to just one single overlapping zone, but such a strategy can nonetheless be extended to the use of several layers, each delimited by contouring the average density level of events over the area. This approach would be an intermediate approach between standard distributed and smoothed seismicity models.

Group	Source	<i>a-</i> value	<i>b</i> -value	M-max	
1 -	2	4.83	1.02	7.0	
	3	5.38	1.02	1.2	
	1	4.48			
2	4	4.18	0.95	7.5	
	22	3.70			
-	7	4.00			
	7.1	4.23	1.02	6.0	
3	14	4.34		0.9	
-	20	3.31			
-	5	4.22			
	6	4.89			
4	8	4.84	1.02	7.9	
-	9	4.93			
	18	4.40			
	10	3.90			
	10.1	3.92			
	11	3.51			
5 -	11.1	3.93	0.00	6.0	
	12	4.05	0.99	0.9	
	12.1	4.13			
	13	4.08			
	13.1	3.99			
6	15	5.31			
	16	5.45	1.16	7.4	
	17	4.77			

Table 4 Calibrated seismicity parameters for each source zones, divided by tectonic group. Sources marked with .1 are representing overlapping layers within a background zone.

7 Logic Tree Implementation

While the aleatory (or random) component of the model uncertainty is generally taken into account by describing the probability distribution of model parameters, the epistemic component, which is related to the available level of knowledge and/or the adopted initial assumptions and simplification, can be quantified by using a logic-tree strategy. In a logic-tree approach, different interpretations of the model components are considered concurrently. Statistical analysis is performed *a posteriori* on the weighted outcome of each model realisation (or logic-tree branches). *OpenQuake* allows the use of different branching levels, each of those representing a separate contribution to uncertainty. A multilevel strategy assures the full exploration of the model parameters affected by epistemic uncertainty. We applied this strategy to account for the difference between existing ground motion prediction models and for the variability of source parameters not directly constrained by available data.



7.1 Ground Motion Prediction Equations

The optimum strategy for the selection of the most representative Ground Motion Prediction Equations (GMPE) is the direct comparison of empirical ground motion estimates with observed earthquake recordings in a sufficiently representative range of magnitudes and distances. The GEM Ground Motion Toolkit (GMTK) offers a set of simple functionalities to pursue this goal (Weatherill, 2014b). Unfortunately, Sub-Saharan Africa is affected by a severe lack of data availability. The use of *AfricaArray* networks did not contribute significantly, as no large magnitude events were recorded and the lack of recordings in the near to intermediate distance range (<50km). For these reasons, we had to rely for GMPE selection on a simpler - but less accurate - selection criteria, based on direct evaluation and comparison of GMPE features, such as the tectonic setting, the type and quality of data used for calibration, and the suitability of the functional form (Cotton et al. 2006).

In a first round, sixteen GMPEs were selected as possible candidates from a worldwide database, covering four different tectonic contexts: active shallow crust (ASC), stable continental crust (SCC), cratons (CRT) and volcanic areas (VLC). However, ground motion prediction equations from CRT and VLC settings were excluded, because of the questionable applicability to the investigated area and the lack of available data to perform ad-hoc seismicity analysis. This last issue is particularly critical in case of volcano-related seismicity, which is nonetheless a possibly significant contribution to seismic hazard at specific sites. Once more data is made available, it is advisable that this component will be progressively integrated into the model.

In a second attempt, GMPEs for ASC and SCC were assigned to different source groups. While we used ASC GMPEs for areas involving plate boundary segmentation, SCC GMPEs were used to model ground motion in all intra-plate areas. The rationale behind this choice is the evolution of the African rifting. Given the relatively young age of the process, it might be expected that extra-rift regions are less exposed to asthenospheric upwelling, and therefore able to preserve a mechanical behaviour and a seismicity footprint typical of stable continental areas. However, after some sensitivity test calculation, we found that using a sharp separation between regions of different tectonic setting led to unjustifiably large differences in the computed ground motion across certain zone boundaries. In order to minimize such effects, while retaining the assumption of diversity in crustal attenuation and stressdrop, we proceeded with an alternative approach.

Group ID	Source ID	CY	AK	AB	PZ
А	1, 2, 3, 4, 17	0.5	0.5	0	0
В	5, 6, 8, 9, 1, 8, 22	0.375	0.375	0.125	0.125
С	15	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
D	7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 20	0.125	0.125	0.375	0.375

Table 5 Weighting scheme used for the GMPE logic tree. Source zones sharing the same weights are grouped into four main categories (A-D). Four attenuation models were applied(CY - Chiou and Youngs, 2014; AK - Akkar et al., 2014; AB - Atkinson and Boore, 2006; PZ - Pezeshk et al. 2011).



Fig. 6 Comparison between response spectra predicted by the four selected GMPEs as a function of M_w magnitude (rows, $M_w 5$ to $M_w 8$) and Joyner-Boore distance (columns, 5 km to 100 km).

The current logic-tree model was restricted to the use of four GMPEs, respectively two for active shallow crust (CY - Chiou and Youngs, 2014; AK - Akkar et al., 2014) and two for stable continental conditions (AB - Atkinson and Boore, 2006; PZ - Pezeshk et al. 2011). We then assigned all of the selected GMPEs to each source zone, but allowing the corresponding logic-tree weight to vary in agreement with the likelihood for each specific tectonic type. Assignment of weights was agreed on the basis of the direct judgement of local seismotectonic conditions by a pool of experts from the region. The full list of weights is summarised in Table 5. Zones sharing the same weighting scheme have then been clustered into four main groups (named A to D) to reduce the total number of end-branches into the logic tree implementation. In Fig. 6 a comparison of the response spectra from the selected GMPE is presented for a range of magnitude and distance values.

7.2 Source Model Uncertainty

The source model logic tree currently has a master branch that includes the area source zonation previously described. On top of that, additional branching levels have been implemented to describe the epistemic variability of the assumed maximum magnitude of each zone (e.g. **Fig. 7**). Given the poor constraints available for its calibration, maximum magnitude is assumed to have a relative possible error of ± 0.2 , assigned empirically with a certain level of conservatism. The higher weight (0.5) is assigned to the original unmodified magnitude estimate, while edge values (± 0.2) have a lower probability of 0.25 each.





Fig. 7. Schematic representation of branch permutation for the current logic-tree implementation.

8 **PSHA Results**

8.1 OpenQuake Settings

Hazard computations have been performed using the *OpenQuake* engine (Version 2.0) through the available classical calculator for distributed seismicity (see OpenQuake Reference Manual for details on available calculators). The investigation area consists of a mesh of 79109 sites spaced at approximately 10 km. Such area includes all earthquake source zones described in section 3, plus a buffer region of not less than 100 km. For each site of the mesh, free rock conditions are assumed, with a fixed 30-metre averaged shear-wave velocity (Vs₃₀) reference of 600 m/s (corresponding to stiff-soil transition in Eurocode8 [CEN, 2004] and NERHP [BSSC, 2001] classification).

Target ground motion intensity for calculation is 5% damped response spectral acceleration (in g), estimated for probabilities of exceedance (POE) of 10% and 2% within an investigation time of 50 years. This corresponds respectively to return periods of about 474 and 2,474 years. Due to the substantial lack of historical records for proper calibration of the large magnitude rates, we avoid using longer return periods.

According to the possibilities of the selected GMPEs, spectral acceleration has been computed at PGA and for the response spectral periods of 0.05 s, 0.1 s, 0.2 s, 0.5 s, 1 s and 2 s. Ground motion integration has been conservatively truncated at 3 sigma of the prediction. Output of the calculation are mean and quantile (0.15, 0.5 and 0.85) hazard curves at each site, together with Uniform Hazard Spectra (UHS) and hazard maps, which are described in the next sections.

8.2 Calculation Outputs

Hazard calculations have been performed for each site of the investigation grid. For the sake of conciseness, however, in the following we illustrate hazard results for four selected African capitals, which are considered to be significant for risk analysis:

- Addis Ababa (Ethiopia);
- Kampala (Uganda);
- Nairobi (Kenya);
- Bujumbura (Burundi).

8.2.1 Earthquake Hazard Curves

Hazard curves are calculated for fixed acceleration ordinates between 0.005 g and 2.13 g and separately for each prescribed spectral period (including PGA). Acceleration corresponding to the target probability of exceedance(s) is subsequently extracted from the curves by linear interpolation. The mean hazard curves for different spectral periods at the four example locations are presented in Fig. 8. The unusual behaviour of the hazard curves in Kampala at long periods should be noted; this is likely due to concurrence of GMPEs for shallow crust and stable continental conditions, which affect the various probabilities differently.

8.2.2 Earthquake Hazard Maps

A series of hazard maps have been produced for different return periods and POEs (Fig. 9). Largest accelerations are found for periods of 0.1 s and 0.2 s along the Western Branch of the EARS (0.51 g), particularly for source zones 6 (Lakes Albert to Kivu) and 8 (Lake Tanganyika). Moderate acceleration (less than 0.35 g) is experienced in the Afar region (zone 1) in northern Ethiopia. Southern Ethiopia (zone 4) presents levels (0.24 g at 0.2s) that are comparable to western EARS (zone 15) and the side seismic belts of Zambia (zone 12). Remaining portions of the rift are affected by an overall lower hazard, with accelerations generally lower than 0.2 g.

8.2.3 Uniform Hazard Spectra

Uniform Hazard Spectra (UHS) are computed by collecting ground motion for a given probability of exceedance over a spectrum of different response periods. This representation is useful to highlight those periods where larger spectral acceleration is expected. It is however important to stress that UHS cannot be directly used to model local scenarios (e.g. for the selection of a reference earthquake), as the different spectral ordinates might be (and likely are) linked to different controlling events. For that purpose, a disaggregation procedure is best suited.





Fig. 8 Mean hazard curves computed for a range of spectral periods, including PGA (in red), at four example African cities.

In Fig. 10 mean and quantile UHS are shown for the four selected African capitals. It is evident that periods between 0.1 s and 0.2 s make a considerable contribution to the hazard. This is not surprising as it is related to residual effect of local soil conditions, which are likely to affect ground motion predicted in this frequency band (5-10 Hz). Such period range is also significant from an engineering perspective, as it matches the resonance response of typical buildings in urban environments.



Fig. 9 Map of spectral acceleration (g) for 10% probability of exceedance in 50 years.

Fig. 10 Mean and quantile Uniform Hazard Spectra computed for the four selected African capitals.

9 Discussion and Conclusions

The SSA PSHA model is generally consistent with the previous regional model from the GSHAP project (Midzi et al., 1999), however with some noticeable differences. Starting from north, the largest PGA (10% POE in 50 years) of the SSA model is observed in Djibouti (0.22 g) and at the border with Somalia. The value nearly matches the GSHAP prediction for the same area. Different values are, however, obtained in northern Ethiopia and the Afar region, where the current model predicts a somewhat lower acceleration (0.16 g) than GSHAP (around 0.2 g). This is likely due to the different approach used to represent multiple area sources at the Afar triple junction.

Moving southward, the maximum acceleration in the Ethiopian plateau shows similar values (0.13 g) for the two models. Following the western branch of the EARS, the biggest difference is found in the south Sudan cluster (Juba region), where a difference in acceleration of about 0.08 g is observed. This is again likely due to the different modelling strategy of the area sources. GSHAP does not define an *ad-hoc* source zone to describe the cluster, therefore transferring the moderate seismicity of the lakes region also to the north. A similar situation is found towards the south, where the SSA model predicts a slightly lower acceleration for the Lake Tanganyika (0.2 g) if compared to the region of the northern lakes (Kivu, Edward and Albert). Conversely, the southern tail of the western branch (e.g. in Malawi) shows a considerably higher acceleration (0.15 g) than GSHAP (0.08 g), which we could explain in term of the expanded catalogue and different calibration of seismicity parameters.

Again, the eastern branch of the EARS has similar maximum accelerations to the GSHAP model in northern Tanzania, but some differences are observed in the intraplate background seismicity of the Victoria micro-plate and southern Kenya. A feature of GSHAP that does note appear in the SSA model is a seismic belt in southern Zimbabwe. No evidence of seismicity is observable from the SSA-GEM catalogue for this feature, although a system of faults is documented in the literature.

The major issue affecting the SSA model is the shortage of strong-motion recordings within a sufficient distance to be used for selection and validation of existing ground motion prediction models. In this study, a choice of suitable GMPEs have been selected based on the crustal structure of the EARS, relying on a set of assumptions from seismotectonic considerations that still need full validation. Future implementation of new strong-motion stations at potentially hazardous sites and the strengthening of existing ground motion prediction models and to promote the development of new locally-calibrated ones. Moreover, the availability of strong-motion recordings will support site-specific hazard studies, which require empirical data for the calibration and verification of numerical seismic-response models. Note, however, that calculation of site-specific hazard is impractical for such a large area. For city scenarios, however, the use of site-specific information from local investigations and microzonation studies is highly advisable. This is a possible second-phase extension of this study.

By analysing the completeness periods of the SSA-GEM catalogue, it is also evident that additional information is required to fill significant gaps in the past earthquake record. This issue is particularly evident for the large-magnitude events, whose occurrence rate estimates could be improved by new historical and macroseismic studies, as well as by progressive integration of paleoseismic and geodetic information, which are nowadays of very limited availability. To compensate for this lack of information, GEM is presently evaluating the potentialities of a strain rate model recently developed by Stamps et al. (2015). We plan to use the inferred geodetic strain rates to derive estimates of total scalar moment release, subsequently needed to constrain earthquake recurrence relationships for both area (as distributed seismicity) and fault source models. The rates obtained indirectly from strain rates and more classically derived from the available seismic catalogues will be compared and combined into a unique mixed earthquake recurrence model, subsequently used as the base for seismic hazard calculations.

Improvements are also possible in the design of the logic tree structure. Up to now, the only considered epistemic variability of the source model is about the uncertainty of M_{MAX} , while neglecting any possible error on *b*-value and occurrence rate estimates. This was mostly done to reduce the complexity of the logic-tree structure - by limiting the total number of parameter permutations - but it might have the drawback to underestimate the true hazard in some regions. For future developments it is therefore advisable to perform a round of sensitivity tests to explore the impact of such epistemic variability on the results, as well as the integration of alternative source zonation models, to account for the subjective choice of regional discretization.

Finally, it is important to highlight that, although the presented model have been calibrated on the most recent information available for Sub-Saharan Africa and using state of the art tools for seismic hazard analysis, our interpretations should not be regarded as a final product, but should rather be regarded as a starting point for the development of continuously-updated and dynamically-improved snapshot of the

current seismic hazard knowledge for the region. To make this process feasible, however, it is essential to insure that all the model-related information (e.g. source models, SSA-catalogue, documentation) is open and publicly available to the community. GEM will support this policy by hosting model files into the GEM world database of open models.

Acknowledgments

This study is made possible by the generous support of the American people through the United States Agency for International Development (USAID). The contents are the responsibility of GEM and do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID or the United States Government. AfricaArray projects that have provided seismicity information used for this study have been supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation.

References

- Adams, A., Nyblade, A. and Weeraratne, D., 2012. Upper mantle shear wave velocity structure beneath the East African Plateau: Evidence for a deep, plateau-wide low velocity anomaly, Geophysical Journal International, 189, 1, 1213-142.
- Akkar, S., Sandikkaya, M. A. and Bommer, J. J., 2014. Empirical ground-motion models for point- and extended-source crustal earthquake scenarios in Europe and the Middle East, Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering, 12, 359-387.
- Ambraseys, N. N., 1991a. The Rukwa earthquake of 13 Dec. 1910 in E. Africa. Terra Nova, 3, 203-208.
- Ambraseys, N. N., 1991b. Earthquake hazard in the Kenya Rift: the Subukia earthquake 1928. Geophysical Journal International. 105, 253-269.
- Ambraseys, N. N. and Adams, R. D., 1991. Reappraisal of major African earthquakes, south of 20°N, 1900-1930. Natural Hazards, 4, 389-419.
- Atkinson, G. and Boore, D., 2006. Earthquake ground-motion prediction equations for eastern North America, Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am. 96, 2181-2205.
- Barth, A., Wenzel, F. and Giardini, D., 2007. Frequency sensitive moment tensor inversion for light to moderate magnitude earthquakes in eastern Africa. Geophys. Res. Lett. 34, L15302.
- Ayele, A, Nyblade, A. A., Langston, C. A., Cara, M. and Leveque, J., 2006. New evidence for Afro-Arabian plate separation in southern Afar, in The Structure and Evolution of the East African Rift System in the Afar Volcanic Province, edited by G. Yirgu, C.J. Ebinger, and P.K.H. Maguire, Geological Society, London, Special Publication, 259, 254-263.
- Biggs, J., Nissen, E., Craig, T., Jackson, J. and Robinson, D. P., 2010. Breaking up the hanging wall of a rift-border fault: the 2009 Karonga earthquakes, Malawi. Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L11305.
- Biggs, J. F., Amelung, N., Gourmelen, T., Dixon, H. and Kim, S. W., 2009. InSAR observations of 2007 Tanzania rifting episode reveal mixed fault and dyke extension in an immature continental rift. Geophys. J. Int., 179, 1, 549-538.

- Brazier, R., Miao, Q., Nyblade, A., Ayele, A. and Langston, C., 2008. Local magnitude scale for the Ethiopian Plateau, Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 98, 2341-2348, doi: 10.1785/0120070266.
- Brazier, R.A., Nyblade A. A. and Florentin, J., 2005. Focal mechanisms and the stress regime in NE and SW Tanzania, Geophysical Research Letters, 32, L14315, doi:10.1029/2005GL023156.
- Brzev S., Scawthorn, C., Charleson, A.W., Allen, L., Greene, M., Jaiswal, K. and Silva, V., 2013. GEM Building Taxonomy Version 2.0, GEM Technical Report 2013-02 V1.0.0, 188 pp., GEM Foundation, Pavia, Italy, doi:10.13117/GEM.EXP-MOD.TR2013.02.
- BSSC, Building Seismic Safety Council, 2003. The 2003 NEHRP Recommended provisions for new buildings and other structures, Part 1: Provisions (FEMA 450), www.bssconline.org.
- Calais E., d'Oreye, N., Albaric, J., Deschamps, A., Delvaux, D., Deverchere, J., Ebinger, C., Ferdinand, R. W., Kervyn, F., Macheyeki, A. S., Oyen, A., Perrot, J., Saria, E., Smets, B., Stamps D. S. and Wauthier, C., 2008. Aseismic strain accommodation by slow slip and dyking in a youthful continental rift, East Africa. Nature, 456, 7223, 783-787.
- Camelbeeck, T. and Iranga, M. D., 1996. Deep crustal earthquakes and active faults along the Rukwa trough, Eastern Africa. Geophysical Journal International, 124, 612-630.
- Casey, M., Ebinger, C., Keir, D., Gloagen, R. and Mohamed, R., 2006. Strain accomodation in transitional rifts: extension by magma intrusion and faulting in the Ethiopian rift magmatic segment. In: Yirgu, G., Ebinger, C.J., Maguire, P.K.H. (Eds.), The Afar volcanic province within the East African Rift System. Geol. Soc. Lond. Spec. Publ. 259, 143-163.
- CEN, European Committee for Standardization, 2004. Eurocode 8: Design of structures for earthquake resistance Part 1: General rules, seismic actions and rules for buildings, British Standard BS EN 1998-1:2004: E, 219.
- Chesley, J. T., Rudnick, R. L. and Lee, C. T., 1999. Re-Ossystematics of mantlexenoliths from the East African Rift: Age, structure, and history of the Tanzanian craton. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 63, 1203-1227.
- Chiou B. S.-J. and Youngs R. R., 2014. Update of the Chiou and Youngs NGA Model for the Average Horizontal Component of Peak Ground Motion and Response Spectra. Earthquake Spectra, 30, 3, 1117-1153.
- Chorowicz, J., 2005. The East African rift system. Journal of African Earth Sciences, 43, 379-410.
- Cotton, F., Scherbaum, F., Bommer, J. J. and Bungum, H., 2006. Criteria for selecting and adjusting ground motion models for specific target regions: Application to central Europe and rock sites. Journal of Seismology, 10, 2, 137-156.
- Craig, T. J., Jackson, J. A., Priestley, K., and McKenzie, D., 2011, Earthquake distribution patterns in Africa: their relationship to variations in lithospheric and geological structure, and their rheological implications. Geophysical Journal International, 185, 403-434.
- Dawson, J. B., 1992. Neogene tectonics and volcanicity in the North Tanzania sector of the Gregory Rift Valley: Contrasts with the Kenya sector. Tectonophysics, 204, 81-92.
- Delvaux, D. and Barth, A., 2010. African stress pattern from formal inversion of focal mechanism data. Tectonophysics, 482, 105-128.

- Delvaux, D. and Hanon, M., 1993. Neotectonics of the Mbeya area, SW Tanzania, Mus. Roy. Afr. Centr. Tervuren (Belg.), Dept. Geol. Min. Rapp. Ann., 991-992, 87-97.
- Delvaux, D., Kervyn, F., Macheyeki, A. S. and Temu, E. B., 2012. Geodynamic significance of TRM segment in East African Rift (W-Tanzania): active tectonics and paleostress in the Ufipa plateau and Rukwa basin. J. Struct. Geol., 37, 161-180.
- d'Oreye, N., Fernandez, J., Gonzalez, P., Kervyn, F., Wauthier, C., Frischknecht, C., Calais, E., Heleno, S., Cayol, V., Oyen, A. and Marinkovic, P., 2008. Systematic InSAR monitoring of African active volcanic zones: What we have learned in three years, or an harvest beyond our expectations. Second Workshop on Use of Remote Sensing Techniques for Monitoring Volcanoes and Seismogenic Areas, USEReST 2008, 57-62.
- Durrheim, R. J., 2016, African Earthquakes, In Natural and Human-Induced Hazards and Disasters in Africa, G. Mulugeta and T. Simelane (Eds.), Africa Institute of South Africa, Pretoria, 16-42.
- Ebinger, C. J., 1989. Tectonic development of the Western branch of the East African Rift System. Geol. Soc. of Am. Bull., 101, 885-993.
- Edwards, B., Allmann, B., Fäh, D. and Clinton, J., 2010. Automatic computation of moment magnitudes for small earthquakes and the scaling of local to moment magnitude. Geophysical Journal International, 183, 1, 407-420.
- Ekström, G., Nettles, M. and Dziewoński, A., 2012. The global CMT project 2004-2010: Centroid-moment tensors for 13,017 earthquakes. Phys. Earth Planet. Inter., 200-201, 1-9.
- Engdahl, E. R., and Villaseñor, A., Global Seismicity: 1900-1999, 2002. in W.H.K. Lee, H. Kanamori, P.C. Jennings, and C. Kisslinger (editors), International Handbook of Earthquake and Engineering Seismology, Part A, Chapter 41, 665-690, Academic Press.
- Fenton, C. H. and Bommer, J. J., 2006. The M_w 7.0 Machaze Mozambique earthquake of 23 February 2006. Seismol. Res. Lett., 77, 425-439.
- Field, E. H., Jordan, T. H. and Cornell, C. A., 2003. OpenSHA A developing Community - Modeling Environment for Seismic Hazard Analysis. Seism. Res. Lett. 74, 406-419.
- Franke, D., Jokat, W., Ladage, S., Stollhofen, H., Klimke, J., Lutz, R., Mahanhane, E.S., Ehrhardt, A. and Schreckenberger, B., 2015. The offshore East African Rift System: Structural framework at the toe of a juvenile rift. Tectonics, 34, 10, 2086-2104.
- Foster, A., Ebinger, C., Mbede, E. and Rex, D., 1997. Tectonic development of the N. Tanzania sector of the East African rift. J. Geol. Soc. London, 154, 689-700.
- Furman, T. K., Kaleta, J., Bryce, J. and Hanan, B., 2006. Tertiairy mafic lava of Turkana, Kenya: Constraints on EAST African plume structure and the occurrence of high μ volcanism in Africa, J., Petro., 47, 6, 1221-1244.
- Gardner, J. K. and Knopoff, L., 1974, Is the sequence of earthquakes in Southern California, with aftershocks removed, Poissonian? Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 64, 1363-1367.
- George, R. M., Rogers, N. and Kelley, S., 1998. Earliest magmatism in Ethiopia: Evidence for two mantle plumes in one flood basalt province. Geology, 26, 923-926.

- Grimison, N.L., Chen and W. P., 1988. Earthquakes in Davie Ridge-Madagascar region and the southern Nubian-Somalian plate boundary. J. Geophys. Res., 93, 10439-10450.
- Gurnis, M., Mittovica, J., Ritsema, J. and Van Hest, H. J., 2000. Constraining mantle density structure using geological evidence of surface uplift rates: The case of African super-plume. Geochem Geophys Geosyst, 1, 10220.
- Hartnady, C. J. H., Ben-Avrahan, H. Z. and Rogers, J., 1992. Deep-ocean basin basins and submarine rises of the continental margin of south-eastern Africa: New geological research. S. Afr. J. Sci, 88, 534-539.
- Hartnady, C. J. H., 1990. Seismicity and plate boundary evolution in Southeastern Africa, S. Afr. J. Sci., 93, 473-484.
- Hartnady, C. J. H., 2002. Earthquake hazard in Africa: perspectives on the Nubia-Somalia boundary. S. Afri. J. Sci., 98, 425-428.
- Hartnady, C. J. H., 2006. Seismotectonics of Southern, Mozambique. Paper presented at 21st Colloquium on African Geology (CAGE21). Geol. Soc. Of Sci. Afr., Maputo, Mozambique.
- Kebede, F. and Kulhanek, O., 1991. Recent seismicity of the East African rift system and its implications. Phys. Earth. Planet. Inter., 68, 259-273.
- Keir, D., Hamling, J., Ayele, A., Calais, E. and Wright, T., 2009. Evisence for focused magmatic accretion at segment centers from lateral dike injection captured beneath the Red Sea Rift in Afar. Geology, 37, 59-62.
- ISC, 2013. International Seismological Centre, On-line Bulletin, http://www.isc.ac.uk, Internatl. Seismol. Cent., Thatcham, United Kingdom.
- Langston, C. A., Brazier, R .A., Nyblade, A. A. and Owens, T. J., 1988. Local magnitude scale and seismicity rate for Tanzania, East Africa. Bulletin Seismological Society of America, 88, 712-721.
- Le Gall, B., Gernignon, L., Rolet, J., Ebinger, C., Gloageri, R., Nilsen, O., Dypvik, H., Derffontaines, B. and Mruma, A., 2004. Neogene-Recent rift propagation in Central Tanzania: Morphostructural and aeromagnetic evidence from the Kilombero area. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., 116, 490-510.
- Le Gall, B., Nonnotte, P., Rolet, J., Benoit, M., Guillou, H., Mousseau-Nonnotte, M., Albaric, J. and Devechere, J., 2008. Rift propagation at craton margin. Distribution of faulting and volcanism in the North Tanzania Divergence: (East Africa) during Neogene times. Tectonophysics, 448, 1-19.
- Lithgow-Berteloni, C. and Silveri, P.G., 1998. Dynamic topography, plate driving forces and the African superswell. Nature, 395, 269-272.
- Lubkowski, Z., Villani, M., Coates, K., Jirouskova, N. and Willis, M., 2014. Seismic design considerations for East Africa. Second European Conference on Earthquake Engineering and Seismology, 25-29 August 2014, 14 pages.
- Lyons, R. P., Scholz, C. A., Buoniconti, M. R. and Martin, M. R., 2011. Late quaternary stratigraphy pf the Lake Malawi Rift, East Africa. An integration of drill-core and seismic resection data. Paleogeogr Paleoclimatol Paleoecol, 303, 20-37.
- McConnell, R. B., 1980. A resurgent taphrogenic lineament of Precambrian origin in eastern Africa. J. Geol. Soc. London, 137, 483-489.
- MacGregor, D., 2015, History of the development of the East African Rift System: A series of interpreted maps through time. Journal of African Earth Sciences, 101, 232-252.
- Macheyeki, A. S., Delvaux, D., Debatist, M. and Mruma, M., 2008. Fault kinematics and tectonic stress in the seismically active Manyara-Dodoma Rift segment in

Central Tanzania: implications for the East African Rift. J. Afr. Earth Sci., 51, 165-188.

- Mavonga, T., 2007. Some characteristics of aftershock sequences of major earthquakes from 1994 to 2002 in the Kivu Province, Western Rift Valley of Africa. Tectonophysics, 439, 1-12.
- McDougall, I. and Brown, F.H., 2009. Timing of volcanism and evolution of northern Kenya Rift. Geol. Mag. 146, 1, 34-47.
- McGuire, R. K. 2004. Seismic hazard and risk analysis, Earthquake Engineering Research Institute, Oakland, CA.
- Midzi, V., and Manzunzu, B., 2014, Large recorded earthquakes in sub-Saharan Africa. In Extreme Natural Hazards, Disaster Risks and Societal Implications, ed. A. Ismal-Zadeh, J. Urrutia-Fucagauchi, A. Kijko and I Zaliapin. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Midzi, V., Hlatywayo, D. J., Chapola, L. S., Kebede, F., Atakan, K., Lombe, D. K., Turyomurugyendo G. and Tugume, F. A., 1999). Seismic hazard assessment in eastern and Southern Africa. Annali di Geofisica, 42, 1067-1083.
- Mougenot, D., Recq, M., Virlogeux, P. and Lepvrier, C., 1986. Seaward extension of the East African Rift. Nature, 321, 599-603.
- Mulibo, G.D. and Nyblade, A.A., 2013. Mantle transition zone thinning beneath eastern Africa: Evidence for a whole mantle superplume structure. Geophysical Research Letters, 40, 3562-3566.
- Mulibo, G. and A. Nyblade, 2016, The seismotectonics of southeastern Tanzania: Implications for the propagation of the Eastern Branch of the East African Rift, Tectonophysics, 974, 2, 20-30.
- Nyblade, A. A., and Langston, C. A., 1995. East African earthquakes below 20 km depth and their implications for crustal structure. Geophysical Journal International, 121, 49-62.
- Nyblade, A. A. and Robinson, S. W., 1994. The African superswel. Geophys. Res. Lett. 21, 9, 765-768.
- O'Donnell, J. P., Adams, A., Nyblade, A., Mulibo, G. and Tugume, F., 2013. The uppermost mantle shear wave velocity structure of eastern Africa from Rayleigh wave tomography: Constraints on rift evolution. Geophysical Journal International, 194, 2, 961-978.
- Petit, C., and C. Ebinger, 2000. Flexure and mechanical behaviour of cratonic lithosphere: Gravity models of the East African and Baikal rifts. J. Geophys. Res., 105, 19151-19162.
- Pezeshk, S., Zandieh, A. and Tavakoli, B., 2011. Hybrid Empirical Ground-Motion Prediction Equations for Eastern North America Using NGA Models and Updated Seismological Parameters. Bull Seism. Soc. Am., 101, 4, 1859-1870.
- Pik, R., Marty, B., Carignan, J., Lave, J., 2003. Stability of the upper Nile drainage network (Ethiopia) deduced from (U-Th)/He thermochronometry: implication for uplift and erosion of the Afar plume dome. Earth. Planet. Sci. Lett. 215. 73-88.
- Pik, R., Marty, B. and Hilton, D.R., 2006. How many mantle plumes in Africa? The geochemical point of view. Chem. Geol., 226, 100-114.
- Rasskazov, S. V., Logatchev, N. A., Ivanov, A. V., Boveri, A. A., Maslovsskaya, M. N., Saranina, E. V., Brandt, I. S., Brandt, S. B., 2003. A magmatic episode in the Western Rift of East Africa, (19-17 Ma). Geol. Geofiz., 44, 317-324.
- Ritsema, J. H., Van Hest, H. J. and Woodhouse, J. H., 1998. Complex shear wave velocity structure imaged beneath Africa and Iceland. Science, 286, 1925-1928.

- Roberts, E. M., Stevens, N.J., O'Connor, P. M., Dirks, P. H. G. M., Gottfried, M. D., Clyde, W. C., Armstrong, R. A., Kemp, A. I. S. and Hemming, S., 2012. Initiation of the Western Branch of the East African Rift, coeval with the eastern Branch. Nat. Geosc., 5, 4, 289-294.
- Rydelek, P.A., and Sacks, J.S., 1989. Testing the completeness of Earthquake Catalogues and the Hypothesis of Self-Similarity. Nature, 337, 249-251.
- Saria, E., Calais, E., Stamps, D.S., Delvaux, D. and Hartnady, C. J. H., 2014. Presentday kinematics of the East African Rift, Journal of Geophysical Research Solid Earth, 119(4), 3584-3600, doi:10.1002/2013JB010901.
- Shudofsky, G.N., 1985. Source mechanisms and focal depths of East African earthquakes using the Rayleigh-wave inversion and body-wave modelling. Geophys. J. R., Astr.Soc., 83, 563-634.
- Stamps, D.S., Saria, E. and Kreemer, C., 2015. Sub-Saharan Africa Geodetic Strain Rate Model 1.0. GEM Technical report.
- Stepp, J. C., 1971. An investigation of earthquake risk in the Puget Sound area by use of the type I distribution of largest extremes. PhD thesis, Pennsylvania State University.
- Stiphout, T. van, Zhuang, J. and Marsan, D., 2012. Theme V Models and Techniques for Analysing Seismicity. Technical report. Community Online Resource for Statistical Seismicity Analysis. doi:10.5078/corssa-52382934.
- Storchak, D.A., Di Giacomo, D., Engdahl, E. R., Harris, J., Bondár, I., Lee, W. H. K., Bormann P. and Villaseñor, A., 2015. The ISC-GEM Global Instrumental Earthquake Catalogue (1900-2009). Introduction, Phys. Earth Planet. Int., 239, 48-63.
- Storchak, D. A., Di Giacomo, D., Bondár, I., Engdahl, E. R., Harris, J., Lee, W.H.K., Villaseñor A. and Bormann, P., 2013. Public Release of the ISC-GEM Global Instrumental Earthquake Catalogue (1900-2009). Seism. Res. Lett., 84, 5, 810-815.
- Studt, F. E., Cornet, J. and Buttgenbach, H., 1908. Carte geologique du Katanga et notes descriptive. Am. Musee Congo, Ser. 2, 1.
- Uhrhammer, R., 1986, Characteristics of Northern and Central California seismicity. Earthquake Notes, 57, 1, 21.
- USGS 2016, M5.9 22km NE of Nsunga, Tanzania. U.S. Geological Survey -Earthquake Hazards Program. <u>http://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/eventpage/us10006nkx#executive</u>. Accessed 12 September 2016.
- USNRC 2012. Practical implementation guidelines for SSHAC Level 3 and 4 hazard studies. Technical report. 235 pages. US Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
- Vilanova, S. P., Nemser, E. S., Besana-Ostman, G. M., Bezzeghoud, M., Borges, J. F., da Silveira, A. B., Cabral, J., Carvalho, J., Cunha, P. P., Dias, R. P., Madeira, J., Lopes, F. C., Oliveira, C. S., Perea, H., García-Mayordomo, J., Wong, I., Arvidsson, R., and Fonseca, J. F. B. D., 2014. Incorporating descriptive metadata into seismic source zone models for Seismic Hazard Assessment: a case study of the Azores-West Iberian region. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 104, 1212-1229.
- Vittori, E., Delvaux, D. and Kervyn, F., 1997. Kanda fault: a major seismogenic element west of the Rukwa Rift (East Africa: Tanzania). J. Geophys., 24, 1-4, 139-153.
- Weatherill, G. A., Pagani, M. and Garcia, J., 2016, Exploring earthquake databases for the creation of magnitude-homogeneous catalogues: tools for application on a regional and global scale. Geophys. J. Int., 206, 3, 1652-1676.

🖄 Springer

- Weatherill, G. A. 2014b. OpenQuake Ground Motion Toolkit User Guide. Global Earthquake Model (GEM). Technical Report. DOI:10.13117/ GEM.OPENQUAKE.MAN.GMTK.01
- Weatherill, G. A., 2014a. OpenQuake Hazard Modeller's Toolkit User Guide. Global Earthquake Model (GEM). Technical Report. DOI:10.13117/ GEM.OPENQUAKE.MAN.HMTK.01
- Weeraratne, D.S., Forsyth, D.W., Fisher, K.M. and Nyblade, A.A., 2003. Evidence for an upper mantle plume beneath the Tanzanian Craton from Rayleigh-wave tomography. J. Geophys. Res., 108, 89, 2427.
- Weichert, D. H., 1980. Estimation of the earthquake recurrance parameters for unequal observation periods for different magnitudes. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 70, 4, 1337-1346.
- Woessner, J. and Wiemer, S., 2005. Assessing the quality of earthquake catalogues: estimating the magnitude of completeness and its uncertainty. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 95, 684-698.
- Wolfenden, E., Ebinger, C., Yiirgu, G., Deino and A., Ayalew, D., 2004. Evolution of the northern Main Ethiopian Rift: Birth of a triple junction. Earth Planet. Sci., 224, 2123-228.
- Worku, A., 2014. The status of basic design ground motion provisions in seismic design codes of Sub-Saharan African countries. Journal of the South African Institution of Civil Engineering, 56, 40-53.
- Yang, Z., and Chen, W. P., 2010, Earthquakes along the East African Rift System: a multiscale, system-wide perspective. Journal of Geophysical Research, 115, B12309.
- Zana, N., 1977. The Seismicity of the Western Rift Valley of Africa and associated problems. Doctorate Thesis, Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan, 177 pp.
- Zana, N. and Hamaguchi, H., 1978. Some characteristics of aftershock sequences in the Western Rift Valley of Africa. Tohoku Geophys. Journ. (Sci. Rep. Tohoku Univ., Ver.5), Geophysics, 25, 2, 55-72.
- Zana, N., Wafula, M., Lukaya, N. and Batabolo, M., 2004. The Kabalo earthquake in D. R. Congo on September 11, 1992: Field observations and damages. Quelques résultats de Recherches en Géophysique. Centre de Recherches et Pédagogie appliqués (C. R. P. A), I.P.N, Kinshasa, 77-89.